

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,168	06/19/2003	Scott Andrew Tillotson	902.0118.U1(US) 9774	
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 05/02/2007 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER		
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		AZARIAN, SEYED H	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/600,168	TILLOTSON, SCOTT ANDREW			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Seyed Azarian	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate o period for reply (including a total extension of time of the office of the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on _	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely ficontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interdof the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		se the period for seeking court review			
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:					
In telephone conference on April 25, 2007 application had not been filed.	ant's representative Mrs. Lynn Marc	oldy indicated that a response			
Sejed agacian					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070429			